IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINETH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

And
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

WRIT PETITION NO : 14852 of 2004

Between:

Mopada Krishna, S/o Bhangaram,
Gram Panchayat, Chinamashidivada, Visakhapatnam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Panchayat Officer, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.
2 The District Revenue Officer, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.
3 The Government of A.P., rep. by its Principal Secretary
Finance (FW & M) Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
4 K. Demudu,
Pendurthy, Visakhapatnam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records pertaining the order dt. 13-8-2004 in O.A.No. 4640 of 2004 passed by the Hon'ble A.P. Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad, and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and the one in violation of Constitutional provisions and consequently set aside the transfers orders dt. 7-8-2004 in Rc.No. 503/2004 A4 by directing the respondents herein to continue the petitioner herein as Secretary of Chinamushidivada Gram Panchayat, Visakhapatnam District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.K.RAM REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEV.

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: --N.A.--

The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following:

(Oral Order: Per: The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Goda Raghuram)

Seeking to make a representation to the State Government against his transfer from the Grampanchayat Chinamushidivada, Pendurthi Mandal, Vishakapatnam district to Grampanchayat Pendurthi, Pendurthi Mandal Vishakapatnam district by the order dated 07-08-2004, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as 'withdrawn'. The petitioner is at liberty to make a representation to the State Government, if he so chooses. No costs.

_____

J. CHELAMESWAR, J

_____

GODA RAGHURAM, J

Dt. 29-09-2004

Pvks/*

To

1 The District Panchayat Officer, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.
2 The District Revenue Officer, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.
3 The Principal Secretary, Finance (FW & M) Department, Government of A.P., Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
4. .2CCs to the Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj & Rural Development, A.P. High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

5.2CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**AVLR**}*